$\frac{1}{2}$  NSC94-2115-M-216-001-4 08 01 95 07 31

執行單位: 中華大學企業管理學系

計畫主持人: 蔡明春 共同主持人: 裴文

計畫參與人員: 蔡明春, 裴文

。<br>在前書 : 本計畫可公開查詢

行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫 成果報告

95 10 30

# Diameter, Connectivity and Edges of Graphs Ming-Chun Tsai

### **Abstract.**

In the past years, we study edge number, maximum genus and decay number of graphs with given diameter and connectivity. We found that there exist some relations among edge number, connectivity and diameter. In particular, we proved that  $q \ge 2p - 11$  for any diameter 3 graph G with minimum degree  $\delta(G) = 3$ , where p, q denote the edge number and vertex number of  $G$ , respectively. This result and the past research intrigue us to study the edge number of graphs with given diameter and connectivity. In this project, we prove that if G is a 3-regular  $(p,q)$  – graph of diameter 3, then  $p \le 16$  and  $q \le 2p - 8$ . We also show this bound is sharp. Finally, we conjecture that  $q \ge 2p-8$  for any diameter 3  $(p,q)$  – graph *G* with  $\delta(G) = 3$ .

#### **1. Preliminary**

Throughout this project, a graph may have multiple edges or loops, but a multigraph contains multiple edges, but no loops, and a simple graphs contains neither multiple edges nor loops. Let the decay number of  $G$ ,  $\zeta(G)$  (resp. the Betti deficiency,  $\xi(G)$ ) be the minimum number of components (resp. odd size components) of a co-tree of a connected graph *G* . The invariant  $\zeta(G)$  was introduced to calculate the maximum genus  $\gamma_M(G)$  of *G* by the formula  $\gamma_M(G) = (\beta(G) - \xi(G))/2$ , where  $\beta(G)$  is the Betti number. It is clear that  $\xi(G) \leq \zeta(G)$  for any graph. In the past years, we study edge number, maximum genus and decay number with given diameter and connectivity. We find that there exist some relations among edge number, connectivity and diameter. This relations followed the study of Murty[4] in 1969. He proved the following theorem.

# **Theorem 1.1(Murty[4])** *If G is a* 2 *-connected, diameter* 2

 $(p,q)$  – *graph, then* 

$$
q\geq 2p-5
$$

After the study of Murty<sup>[4]</sup>, Bollobas and Harary<sup>[2]</sup> in 1976 defined that  $P(p,d,d',s)$  is the set of graphs with order p, diameter at most d and diameter at most  $d'$  if it is deleted any *s* vertices. Furthermore,  $f(p,d,d',s)$  is defined by the minimum edge number of all graphs in

 $P(p,d,d',s)$ . Thus,  $f(p,d,d-1,n-1)$  denotes the minimum edge number of  $n$  − connected, diameter  $d$  graphs. To simplify the notation, we use  $f(p,d,n)$  to replace  $f(p,d,p-1,n-1)$ . By the definition, Theorem 1 show that  $f(p,2,2) \geq 2p-5$ . Next, Bollobas and Harary [3] obtained the following results.

#### **Theorem 2 (Bollobas and Harary**【**3**】**)**

$$
f(p,2,n) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(p-1)(n+1) - n^2 + 2n \right\},\
$$

*where*  $\{x\}$  *denotes the least integer not less than*  $x$ *.* 

Moreover, Bollobas<sup>[1]</sup> replaced  $n$ -connected by minimum degree  $n$ . He proved the following theorem.

## **Theorem 3 (Bollobas [1])**

*Let G be a graph of order d and size g with minimum degree at least*   $n \geq 3$  *and diameter d.* (1) If  $2 \le d \le 2m$  for some *m*, then

$$
q \ge \frac{p}{2}(n + \frac{n-1}{(n-1)^m - 1}) - C(n, m)
$$

where  $C(3, m) = 4^{m+1}$  and  $C(n, m) = n^{m+1}$  for  $n \ge 4$ 

 $(2)$  If  $3 \le d \le 2m+1$ , then

$$
q \ge \frac{p}{2} (n + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (n-1)^i}) - (n+1)p^{\frac{m+1}{m+2}}
$$

By the above theorem,  $f(p,d,n) \ge 2p - 4p^3$ 2  $f(p,d,n) \geq 2p-4p^3$ .

 In 2004, we study the 3-connected, diameter 3 graphs, and we obtained the following result.

### **Theorem 4 (Tsai and Fu [10])**

*If* G is a diameter 3  $(p,q)$  – graph with  $\delta(G) = 3$ , then

$$
q\geq 2p-11.
$$

By the above theorem, we have  $f(p,3,3) \ge 2p-11$ . By Theorem 1 and

Theorem 4, we find that  $f(p, k, k) \geq 2p - c$ , where *c* is a constant for  $k = 2, 3$ . For  $k = 4$ , it is clear that  $f(p, k, k) \ge 2p$ . That is,  $f(p, k, k) \ge 2p - c$  for some constant.

On the other hand, Murty[4] found the extremal 2-connected, diameter 2  $(p,q)$  – graphs with  $q \geq 2p-5$ .

#### **Theorem 5 (Murty[4])**

*The following statements are equivalent for a graph G .* 

- *(1) G is an extremal 2-connected graph of diameter 2*
- (2) G is either the Petersen graph or is constructed by connecting all *vertices of*  $K_2$  *or*  $K_3$  *to a new vertex by paths of length 2.*

In [9], we found that Theorem 1 cloud be extended. We defined that a subset *A* of  $E(G)$  is  $E$  − minimal if any two different components of *G* − *A* are joined by at most one edge in *G*.

#### **Theorem 6 (Tsai[9])**

 *Let G be a 2-connected graph of diameter 2 and let A be an*   $E$  – minimal *subset of*  $E(G)$ . *Then* 

 $m(G/A) \geq 2n(G/A) - 5 + i(G/A)$ .

*where*  $i(G/A)$  *is the number of components in*  $G-A$  *containing at least two vertices.* 

 By the above theorem, Fu, Tsai and Xuong[8] found the extremal 2-connected, diameter 2 graphs with  $\zeta(G) = 4$  and  $\xi(G) = 4$ .

### **Theorem 7 (Fu, Tsai and Xuong[8])**

*Let* G be a 2-connected graph of diameter 2. Then  $\zeta(G) = 4$  if and only if  *is an extremal 2-connected graph of diameter 2 with loops added to G vertices.* 

# **Theorem 8 (Fu, Tsai and Xuong[8])**

*Let* G be a 2-connected graph of diameter 2. Then  $\xi(G) = 4$  if and only if  *is an extremal 2-connected graph of diameter 2 at each vertex of which an G odd number of loops added to vertices.* 

 The past research intrigue us to study the edge number of graphs with given diameter and connectivity. Therefore, we have the following objectives in this project.

- (1) Find the minimum edge number of graphs with given diameter and connectivity.
- (2) Find the extremal graphs with given diameter and connectivity.

#### **2. The Main Results**

In order to improve Theorem 4, we consider 3-regular graphs of diameter, we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** Let G be a 3-regular  $(p,q)$  – graph of diameter 3. Then  $p \le 16$ .

**Proof.** For any  $u \in V(G)$ , we denote  $N_i(u) = \{v \in V(G) | d(u,v) = i\},\$ 

 $i = 1,2,3$ . Let *w* be a vertex of *G* and *z* be a vertex of  $N_3(w)$  with minimum number of  $N_1(z) \cap N_2(w)$ . First if  $|N_1(z) \cap N_2(w)| \ge 2$ , then  $|N_1(z_i) \cap N_2(w)| = 3$  for any vertex  $z_i \in N_3(w)$ . Thus

$$
\sum_{z_i \in N_3(w)} |N_1(z_i)| \le 2 |N_2(w)| \le 12 \text{ and } \sum_{z_i \in N_3(w)} |N_1(z_i)| \ge 2 |N_3(w)|.
$$
 This implies

that  $|N_3(w)| \leq 6$  and then  $p \leq 16$ . So it is sufficient to consider

 $|N_1(z) \cap N_2(w)| = 1$ . We have following three cases..

**Case 1.**  $|N_2(z)| \leq 4$ . Then there are at most two vertices of  $N_2(w)$  which are adjacent to two vertex of  $N_3(w)$ . Thus  $|N_3(w)| \le 6$  and then  $p \le 16$ .

**Case 2.**  $|N_2(z)| = 5$ . Let  $y_1$  be a vertex of  $N_2(w)$  which is adjacent to two vertices of  $N_1(w)$ . We denote that  $N_1(w) \cap N_1(y_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and

$$
N_1(w) \setminus N_1(y_1) = \{x_3\}
$$
. Thus if  $z_1 \in N_3(w) \cap N_1(y_1)$ , then there exists a

vertex of  $N_3(w) \cap N_1(z_1)$  which is adjacent to one of  $N_2(z_1) \cap N_1(y_3)$ .

We let this vertex be  $z_2$ . Since  $d(z_2, x_i) \leq 3$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , the vertex in

 $N_2(z_1) \cap N_1(y_3)$  must be adjacent to one of  $N_1(x_1) \cup N_1(x_2)$ . This implies that at most two vertices of  $N_2(w)$  which are adjacent to two vertices of  $N_3(w)$ . Thus  $|N_3(w)| \le 7$  and then  $p \le 16$ .

**Case 3.**  $|N_2(z)| = 6$ . We denote that  $N(w) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and

$$
N(x_1) = \{w, y_1, y_2\}, N(x_2) = \{w, y_3, y_4\}, N(x_3) = \{w, y_5, y_6\}, \text{ as in Figure 1. Without loss of generality, let } z \text{ be adjacent to } y_1. \text{ Since }
$$
  

$$
|N_1(z) \cap N_2(w)| = 1, \text{ the other two neighborhoods of } z \text{ are in } N_3(w). \text{ We let them be } z_1 \text{ and } z_2.
$$



For any vertex  $z_i$ ,  $i = 1,2$ ,  $z_i$  is adjacent to one vertex  $y_j$  of  $N_2(w)$ . If there exists one of neighborhoods of  $z_1$  or  $z_2$  in  $N_2(w)$  which is adjacent to one vertex  $z^*$  of  $N_3(w) \cap N_3(z)$ , let  $z_1y_3, y_3z^* \in E(G)$ .

Assume that  $z^* \notin N_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1,2$  and  $z^*$  is not adjacent to

$$
z_i \in N_2(z) \setminus \{y_3\}.
$$
 Since for any  $z_i \in N_3(w) \cap N_1(z^*) \cap N_1(y_j)$ ,  $z_i$  is

adjacent to at least one vertex of  $\{z\} \cup N_k(z)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , in order to keep

 $d(z^*, y_j) \leq 3$ , for all *j*,  $z^*$  must be adjacent to two vertices of

 ${y_1, y_2, y_5, y_6}$ . Assume that  $z_2$  is adjacent to a vertex  $z_3 \in N_3(w) \setminus \{z\}$ and  $z_3$  is adjacent to a vertex  $z_4 \in N_3(w) \setminus \{z_2\}$ . Then in order to keep  $d(z^*, z_i) \leq 3$  for  $i = 2,3,4$ ,  $z_3$  is adjacent to neighborhoods of  $z^*$  or both of  $z_2$  and  $z_4$  are adjacent to neighborhoods of  $z^*$ . If  $z_3$  is adjacent to neighborhoods of  $z^*$ , but  $z_2$  and  $z_4$  are not adjacent to  $y_4$ , then  $d(x_2, z_3) > 3$ . If  $z_3$  is adjacent to neighborhoods of  $z^*$ ,  $z_2$  or  $z_4$  is adjacent to  $y_4$ , then  $d(z_i, y_j) > 3$  for some  $i = 2$  or 4,  $j = 1,2$  or 5,6. If  $z_3$  is not adjacent to neighborhoods of  $z^*$ , then both of  $z_2$  and  $z_4$  are adjacent to neighborhoods of  $z^*$ . Thus  $d(x_2, z_3) > 3$ . This is a contradiction. Hence there is no  $z_3 \in N_3(w) \setminus \{z\}$  and  $z_4 \in N_3(w) \setminus \{z_2\}$ such that  $z_2$  is adjacent to a vertex  $z_3$  and  $z_3$  is adjacent to a vertex  $z_4$ . Now we can count  $|N_3(w)|$ .

$$
|N_3(w)| \leq |\{z\}| + |N_1(z) \cap N_3(w)| + |N_2(z) \cap N_3(w)| + |N_3(z) \cap N_3(w)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 1 + |\{z_1, z_2\}| + |N_1(z_1) \cup N_1(z_2) \cap N_3(w)|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ |\{z^*\} \cup N_2(z_1) \cup N_2(z_2) \cap N_3(w)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 7.
$$

For otherwise, there exists no neighborhoods of  $z_1$  or  $z_2$  in  $N_2(w)$ which is adjacent to one vertex  $z^*$  of  $N_3(w) \cap N_3(z)$ . Thus

$$
|N_3(w) \leq |\{z\}| + |N_1(z) \cap N_3(w)| + |N_2(z) \cap N_3(w)| + |N_3(z) \cap N_3(w)|
$$

$$
\leq 1 + |\{z_1, z_2\}| + |N_1(z_1) \cup N_1(z_2) \cap N_3(w)|
$$
  
+ |N\_2(z\_1) \cup N\_2(z\_2) \cap N\_3(w)|  

$$
\leq 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 7.
$$

This implies  $p \leq 17$ . However, G is a 3-regular graph, G has no odd order. So we have  $p \le 16$ .

Since the graph in Figure 2 is a 3-regure (16, 24)-graph of diameter, the bound in Theorem 9 is sharp.



Figure 2.

By Theorem 9, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 10.** *If G is a 3-regular*  $(p,q)$  – *graph of diameter 3, then* 

 $q \leq 2p - 8$ .

 3-regular graphs of diameter 3 have minimum number of edges among diameter 3 graphs with  $\delta(G) = 3$ . So we have the following conjecture.

**Conjecture.** *If* G is a diameter 3  $(p,q)$  – graph with  $\delta(G) = 3$ , then  $q \geq 2p - 8$ .

References

- [1]B. Bollobas, Graphs with given diameter and minimal degree, Ars Combinatoria 2, 1976, 3-9.
- [2]B. Bollobas, Extremal problems in graph theory, J. Graph Theory 1, 1977, 117-123.
- [3] B. Bollobas,and F. Harary, Extremal problems with given diameter and connectivity, Ars Combinatoria 1, 1976, 281-296.
- [4]U.S.R. Murty, On some extremal graphs, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 19, 1969, 69-74.
- [5]H.L. Fu, M. Skoviera and M.C. Tsai, The maximum genus, matchings and the cycle space of a graph, Czechoslovak Math. J. 48(123) 1998, 329-339.
- [6]H.L. Fu, M.C. Tsai and N.H. Xuong, The decay number and the maximum genus of diameter 2

graphs, Discrete Math. 226, 2001, 191-197.

- [7]H.L. Fu and M.C. Tsai, The maximum genus of a graph with given diameter and connectivity, Electronic Note in Discrete Math. Vol. 11, 2002.
- [8]The decay number and the maximum genus of a graph, Math. Slovaca 42 (4), 1992, 392-406.
- [9]M.C. Tsai, A study of maximum genus via diameter, Ph. D thesis, Chiao Tung University, 1996.
- [10]M.C. Tsai and H.L. Fu, Edge number of 3-connected diameter 3 graphs, Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium on Parallel Architectures, Algorithms and Networks.